

Passaic River CAG Strategic Plan for Review and Comment of Focused Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan on the Lower Eight Mile Cleanup
Draft 10/1/13

DATE/milestones	CAG Activities	TASC Activities
October	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Members planning session Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refine technical plan, list of technical issues Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refine outreach plan, create list of public needs, and possible CAG outreach activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update summary tables with questions posed Provide input on additional documents that may help prepare the CAG Work with CAG leadership to finalize scope of work
November	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overview of the FFS and what we have learned to date Review revised TASC tables Present final model and modeling results COE presentation on restoration plans Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Finalize technical issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Present tables at CAG meeting Review draft FFS, other available materials Review preliminary list of technical issues and provide any feedback and additions Draft fact sheet on Alternatives
December	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on CPG modeling and proposal Review draft fact sheet on Alternatives Identify/discuss potential priority issues for CAG discussion and recommendation Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preliminary issues for CAG recommendations Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify key actions for community outreach around the release of the prop plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Present any key observations on the FFS at the CAG meeting

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
January 1/1/13 FFS/PP released?	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation of the proposed plan • Identify/discuss areas for CAG in-depth discussion and review Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify draft set of issues for CAG recommendations Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct agreed outreach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed plan, final FFS • Finalize fact sheet on Alternatives based on the proposed plan • Evaluate life-cycle impacts of alternatives • Present to CAG • Work with technical ctte to ID possible areas for input
February	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Present additional information based on CAG questions on the proposed plan • Discuss scope and focus of CAG recommendations Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare draft CAG recommendations Outreach Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct agreed outreach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assist CAG in answering key questions raised • Present information to the CAG • Review draft CAG input for technical accuracy
March 3/1/13 FFS/PP comments due?	CAG Meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Present additional information based on CAG questions on the proposed plan • Discuss scope and focus of CAG recommendations Technical Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare draft CAG recommendations Outreach Committee Conduct agreed outreach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review draft CAG input for technical accuracy
April 3/1/13 FFS/PP comments due with 30 day extension	TBD based on overall schedule and public comment period	TBD

Preliminary list of technical issues for CAG discussion and understanding

The following items are issues that are important to the community that may require additional information and understanding than what is presented in the EPA documents and/or are brought into question by the CPG approach and comments on the EPA proposal:

- Assumptions regarding natural recovery rates
- Ability to synchronize natural resource restoration plans with the cleanup activities
- Assumptions, plans and coordination with ship traffic if navigation lanes are dredged, and the overall potential impacts of this deeper dredging
- Assumptions, accuracy and relevant examples regarding dredging rates, and barge/pipeline usage, reliance on bridge openings
- Potential for recontamination from upstream sources
- Assumptions, mitigation, and potential impacts of sediment resuspension
- Human health risk from activities in addition to fish consumption
- Dredging vs. capping assumptions, long-term implications